

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
LITIGATION

MDL No. 3084

This Order Relates To:

WHB 428 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., Case No. 3:24-cv-05236

WHB 1387 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., Case No. 3:24-cv-04958

WHB 1891 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., Case No. 3:24-cv-5037

WHB 2056 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., Case No. 3:25-cv-01246

**ORDER GRANTING
STIPULATION TO VACATE
DISMISSAL WITHOUT
PREJUDICE [ECF. 4442]**

Re: Dkt. No. 4725

In accordance with the parties' joint stipulation, see dkt. 4725, the Court orders as follows:

1. The Court's November 19, 2025 Order Regarding Defendants' Amended Motion to Dismiss Cases for Failure to Comply with PTO-10 should be VACATED with respect to WHB 428 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., Case No. 3:24-cv-05236.
2. The Court's November 19, 2025 Order Regarding Defendants' Amended Motion to Dismiss Cases for Failure to Comply with PTO-10 should be VACATED with respect to WHB 1387 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., Case

No. 3:24-cv-04958.

3. The Court's November 19, 2025 Order Regarding Defendants' Amended Motion to Dismiss Cases for Failure to Comply with PTO-10 should be VACATED with respect to WHB 1891 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., Case No. 3:24-cv-5037.
4. The Court's November 19, 2025 Order Regarding Defendants' Amended Motion to Dismiss Cases for Failure to Comply with PTO-10 should be VACATED with respect to WHB 2056 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., Case No. 3:25-cv-01246.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 22, 2025



CHARLES R. BREYER
United States District Judge